FOX CANYON GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AGENCY

800 S. Victoria Avenue | Ventura, CA 93009-1610 | Tel: (805) 654-2014 | FCGMA-GSP@ventura.org

Project Evaluation Checklist

UNDWATER MAN
SOUNDWATER MAN POR
ENT AQ
TO X EST. 1982 1.243

BACKGROUND INFORMATION			
Project Name:	Installat	tion of Dedicated Groundwater Monitoring Wells	
Purpose of Project:		Data Gaps	
Project Type:		Project Update	
Sponsoring Agency:	Fox	Canyon Groundwater Management Agency	
Groundwater Basin:		Las Posas Valley Basin	
Location:	Key wells in the Las Posas Valley Basin		
		s installing multi-depth monitoring wells to reduce a source of	
		y in groundwater management identified in the GSP.	
/		N1 / A	
Implementation Trigger (if applicable):		N/A	
Evaluation Criteria		Response (Applicant to Complete)	
Water Supply	11/2010	NI / A	
Annual increase in Sustainable Yie		N/A	
Annual increase in supplemental v (AFY):	water in lieu of pumping	N/A	
	/ ^ F\/\.	N/A	
Groundwater demand reduction ((AFY):	Groundwater Levels, Groundwater in Storage, Groundwater	
Sustainability indicators addressed	h.	Quality, Subsidence, ISW	
Project documentation included?		Yes	
Timing/Feasibility			
Project Implementation Timeframe			
Current Project status:		Conceptual - no feasibility or design	
Estimated time to Project complete	tion (vears):	2 years, depending on funding	
Timeline / feasibility documentati	., ,	No	
Environmental			
CEQA/NEPA type:		Not Applicable	
Status of CEQA/NEPA review and permitting:		Not applicable	
Will the Project likely be permitted?		Yes	
Sensitivity of location:		Low - can be located to avoid high sensitivity areas.	
Permitting			
Permits required:		Well installation permit- Ventura County	
Status / time required:		Permit can be obtained within weeks of application.	
Likelihood of Project being permitted:		High	

FOX CANYON GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AGENCY

800 S. Victoria Avenue | Ventura, CA 93009-1610 | Tel: (805) 654-2014 | FCGMA-GSP@ventura.org

Project Evaluation Checklist



Project Complexity	
Does the Project use new technology:	No
Does the Project require land acquisition:	No
Status of the land acquisition process:	Not required or all acquisitions and/or easments complete
Is the Project dependent on other unbuilt or unfunded	
projects:	No
Is the Project dependent on funded projects currently	
under construction:	No
Description of Operation and Maintenance (if applicable):	Monitoring wells should not require O&M.
Project Lifespan	
What is the projected lifespan of the Project:	Well installation over 2 to 3 years; Project to last > 30 years
Project Phasing Please provide documentation of anticipated project phasing, incl attachment to this form.	luding schedules and costs (capital and O&M) for each phase, as an
Does Project require multiple phases of construction?	Yes
No. of anticipated construction phases:	2 to 3 depending on funding
	Wells can be installed in phases depending on how much
Description of phases:	funding is available.
Phasing timeline:	2 to 3 years depending on funding
Total cost per phase:	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase.
Total cost per phase:	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase.
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached?	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase.
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost:	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project?	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs?	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Additional Benefits	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Additional Benefits Does the project benefit disadvantaged or under- Does the project benefit disadvantaged or under-	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined N/A
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Additional Benefits	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Project benefit disadvantaged or under-	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined N/A
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Additional Benefits Does the project benefit disadvantaged or underrepresented communities: Is advantaged or underrepresented communities:	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined N/A
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Additional Benefits Does the project benefit disadvantaged or underrepresented communities:	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined N/A
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Additional Benefits Does the project benefit disadvantaged or underrepresented communities: If yes, please describe the benefit(s):	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined N/A No
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Additional Benefits Does the project benefit disadvantaged or underrepresented communities: If yes, please describe the benefit(s): Project Proponent Contact Information	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined N/A No <u>No</u> Response (Applicant to Complete) Kim Loeb Groundwater Manager
Total cost per phase: Project phasing documentation attached? Cost and Funding Total capital cost: Total capital cost: Total annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost: Is the project Proponent providing a funding match to construct the project? Is there a funding source other than FCGMA for ongoing operation and maintenance costs? Additional Benefits Does the project benefit disadvantaged or underrepresented communities: If yes, please describe the benefit(s): If yes, please describe the benefit(s): Project Proponent Contact Information Name:	Will depend on the number of wells installed in each phase. No \$1,020,000 per well N/A To Be Determined N/A No <u>No</u> Response (Applicant to Complete) Kim Loeb

FOX CANYON GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AGENCY

800 S. Victoria Avenue | Ventura, CA 93009-1610 | Tel: (805) 654-2014 | FCGMA-GSP@ventura.org

Project Evaluation Checklist



Phone:	805-650-4083
Date:	9/29/2023

Installation of Additional Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Description

This project proposes installation of multi-depth monitoring wells in the West Las Posas Management Area (WLPMA) and East Las Posas Management Area (ELPMA) of the Las Posas Valley Basin (LPVB) to assess groundwater conditions in the principal aquifers of the LPVB that lack data. The GSP determined that there were spatial data gaps in the understanding of aquifer conditions and identified four potential new well locations that would help fill the identified gaps. In the WLPMA, the GSP identified the boundary between the WLPMA and the Oxnard Subbasin as an area that would benefit from additional groundwater monitoring to improve characterization of groundwater gradients across the basin boundary. In the ELPMA, the GSP identified the potential groundwater dependent ecosystem located along Arroyo Simi-Las Posas as a region that would benefit from additional groundwater or soil moisture from infiltrating surface water. In addition, the GSP notes that there are no dedicated monitoring wells screened in the Grimes Canyon aquifer in the ELPMA and that adding a monitoring well would improve the understanding of groundwater gradients between the Fox Canyon aquifer.

Since submittal of the GSP, well 02N20W04F02S, a key well in the ELPMA, was destroyed. A new dedicated monitoring well to replace this well would provide better characterization of groundwater conditions in the western part of the ELPMA. In addition to this well, FCGMA identified the pumping depression in the eastern portion of the WLPMA as an area that would benefit from a new dedicated monitoring well.

Relationship to Sustainability Criteria

Relationship to Minimum Thresholds

This project does not have a direct influence on the minimum thresholds. It will, however, provide data that can be used to improve basin management as well as evaluate and potentially revise the minimum thresholds in the future.

Relationship to Measurable Objectives

This project does not have a direct influence on the measurable objectives. It will, however, provide data that can be used to improve basin management as well as evaluate and potentially revise the measurable objectives in the future.

Expected Benefits

This data from these wells will be used to refine the conceptual and numerical models of the LPVB. Such refinement may result in reevaluation and adjustment of the minimum thresholds or measurable objectives and will inform groundwater management decisions for the LPVB.

Timetable for Implementation

Installation of monitoring wells will be phased as funding becomes available and the data from the new wells helps define the placement of subsequent wells. It is anticipated that the installation of the first two monitoring wells can be completed within a 2-year timeframe following commitment of funds for the project, with additional wells to follow.

Economic Factors and Funding Sources

The cost per new well is anticipated to be approximately \$1,020,000. Potential funding sources include DWR Technical Support Services (TSS) or SGM grant funds, as well as potential funding from FCGMA.