

From: [Gomez, Omar A CIV USN NFEXWC PHE CA \(USA\)](#)
To: [FCGMA](#)
Subject: Pleasant Valley GSP Evaluation Comments
Date: Friday, September 27, 2024 11:49:43 AM

Hello FCGMA,

Please see my comments below for potential revisions to the Draft Pleasant Valley GSP Evaluation report. I have provide a reference to the part of the report for requested revisions. Please let me know if it is not clear enough for what should be considered revised.

- The first paragraph of the Executive Summary indicates that the GSP evaluation period is between water year 2020 and water year 2024. The next page or on page 11 of the report in section “Current Groundwater Conditions” indicates that the periodic evaluation period evaluates groundwater conditions from water 2015 to 2024. Please ensure that both of these sections list the same evaluation period or agree with another.
- Table ES-2: It appears that current groundwater pumping is actually about 10 percent less than in 2015 or the historical average based on current total water supplies vs historical water supplies in table ES-2 (32260/35670 AFY = 90 percent and hence a 10 percent difference). This should be revised unless a different calculation was used.
- Page 14, Section on “Assessment of Progress Towards Sustainability”, First paragraph: How is the GSP implementation on track to meet the sustainability goal if groundwater usage in the PV is over the sustainable yield estimate of 13,400 AFY?
- Table 2-3b: it should be made clear where 700 AF in storage decrease was calculated from January 2016 to September 2022.
- In section “2.2.2.2 Lower Aquifer System”, please provide reference to data that indicates the Ventura Regional Groundwater Flow Model estimates that groundwater storage in the LAS increased by 4,500 AF

Thank you,
Omar